arXiv:1301.1927v1 [math-ph] 9 Jan 2013

Integrable Maps which Preserve Functions with Symmetries

Allan P Fordy[*] and Pavlos Kassotakis[†]

January 10, 2013

Abstract

We consider maps which preserve functions which are built out of the invariants of some simple vector fields. We give a reduction procedure, which can be used to derive commuting maps of the plane, which preserve the *same* symplectic form and first integral. We show how our method can be applied to some maps which have recently appeared in the context of Yang-Baxter maps.

Keywords: Integrable maps; complete integrability; symplectic forms; Yang-Baxter maps.
PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 45.05.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider a class of mapping on a $2n-$dimensional space, which preserve n functions which are built out of the invariants of some simple vector fields (which are therefore *symmetries* of the "first integrals" of the mappings). We describe circumstances in which the $2n-$dimensional map reduces to a symplectic map of the plane, possessing a first integral. Our examples are for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ and the invariant functions on the plane are of QRT type [6]. However, depending upon the choice of the "simple vector field", the induced map of the plane is *different*, whilst the invariant function and symplectic form are the *same*. We thus construct pairs of maps of the plane which preserve the *same* function. We find that these maps commute. One of these is in fact the QRT map which arises from the function on the plane, whilst the other is of "non-QRT" type (but preserving a QRT integral).

We first explain the method within the context of our $4-$dimensional examples. We start with some generalised McMillan maps introduced in [3]. After the first example we discuss some general ideas and features in Section 2.1. We then consider two maps which recently appeared in [4] in the context of Yang-Baxter maps, one of which is the Adler-Yamilov map [1] (see also [5]).

In the $6-$dimensional context, we need 3 functions and an additional symmetry vector field. In Section 3, we give a generalisation of one of our $4-$dimensional examples to show how this can be done.

This method should be compared with the reduction method of [2], where $2n-1$ invariant functions are required, $2n-2$ of which are Casimirs of the resulting Poisson bracket, so are used to reduce the map to $2-$dimensions.

In Section 4 we discuss some general features of the method, as well as some of the difficulties that might arise in higher dimensions.

---

[*] School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT. E-mail: A.P.Fordy@leeds.ac.uk

[†] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carslaw Building (F07), University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia. E-mail: P.Kassotakis@maths.usyd.edu.au, pavlos78@gmail.com

2 The 4 Dimensional Case

We describe the basic method within the context of the next example.

Example 2.1 (A $4d$ McMillan Map [3]) Consider the vector field $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, -y_1, y_2)$, which has invariants

$$\gamma_1 = x_1 y_1, \;\; \gamma_2 = x_2 y_2, \;\; \gamma_3 = x_1 x_2, \;\; \gamma_4 = y_1 y_2, \quad \text{satisfying } \; \gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1\gamma_2. \tag{1}$$

Under the involution

$$\iota_{xy} : (x_i, y_i) \mapsto (y_i, x_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{2}$$

we have $\gamma_3 \leftrightarrow \gamma_4$ and γ_1, γ_2 invariant, so the following functions are invariant under this:

$$h_1 = (1-\gamma_3)(1-\gamma_4) - 2a\gamma_1 = (1 - x_1x_2)(1-y_1y_2) - 2ax_1y_1, \quad h_2 = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = x_1y_1 - x_2y_2.$$

The equations

$$h_k(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{y}) = h_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad k = 1, 2,$$

can be solved for $\tilde{x}_i, \; i = 1, 2$, to obtain the involution ρ_x and this can be composed with ι_{xy} to give

$$\varphi = \iota_{xy} \circ \rho_x : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \left(y_1, y_2, -\frac{x_2y_2}{y_1} - \frac{2ay_2}{1 - y_1y_2}, -\frac{x_1y_1}{y_2} - \frac{2ay_1}{1-y_1y_2} \right),$$

which is a coupled McMillan map. The Jacobian of this map is -1, so the volume form $\Omega_4 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dy_1 \wedge dy_2$ is *anti-invariant*. Under the map, the vector $\mathbf{X} \mapsto -\mathbf{X}$, so the $3-$form $\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \, \Omega_4$ is *invariant*.

At this stage we change coordinates:

$$u_1 = \gamma_3, \; v_1 = \gamma_1, \; u_2 = h_2, \; v_2 = y_2, \quad \text{with Jacobian } \; x_1x_2y_2 = u_1v_2.$$

In these coordinates $\mathbf{X} = v_2\partial_{v_2}$, so

$$\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \, \Omega_4 = -\frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1 \wedge du_2}{u_1}.$$

It is important for us that this form is *closed*. The map φ now takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1 &= \frac{v_1(v_1 - u_2)}{u_1}, \quad \tilde{v}_1 = \frac{(u_2 - v_1)(u_1 + (2a + u_2 - v_1)v_1)}{u_1 + (u_2 - v_1)v_1}, \\ \tilde{u}_2 &= u_2, \quad \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{((u_2 - v_1)(2a - v_1) - u_1)v_1)}{(u_1 + (u_2 - v_1)v_1)v_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

On the level surface $u_2 = k$, the map restricts to the u_1, v_1 plane,

$$\tilde{u}_1 = \frac{v_1(v_1 - k)}{u_1}, \;\; \tilde{v}_1 = \frac{(k - v_1)(u_1 + (2a + k - v_1)v_1)}{u_1 + (k - v_1)v_1}, \tag{4}$$

with *invariant function* h_1 and *invariant symplectic form* ω, given by

$$h_1 = 1 - u_1 - (2a + k)v_1 + v_1^2 + \frac{(k - v_1)v_1}{u_1}, \quad \omega = \frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1}{u_1}. \tag{5}$$

This two dimensional map is just the QRT map built from the two involutions $h_1(\tilde{u}_1, v_1) = h_1(u_1, v_1)$ and $h_1(u_1, \tilde{v}_1) = h_1(u_1, v_1)$.

Now consider $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, -y_1, -y_2)$, with invariants

$$\gamma_1 = x_1 y_1, \;\; \gamma_2 = x_2 y_2, \;\; \gamma_3 = x_1 y_2, \;\; \gamma_4 = x_2 y_1, \quad \text{also satisfying } \; \gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1\gamma_2, \tag{6}$$

with $\gamma_3 \leftrightarrow \gamma_4$ and γ_1, γ_2 invariant under the action of ι_{xy}. Taking the *same* functions of γ_i,

$$h_1 = (1-\gamma_3)(1-\gamma_4) - 2a\gamma_1 = (1 - x_1 y_2)(1 - x_2 y_1) - 2a x_1 y_1, \quad h_2 = \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 = x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2,$$

and proceeding as before, we find

$$\hat{\varphi} : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \left(y_1, y_2, \frac{2a}{y_1} + \frac{1}{y_2} + \frac{y_2(1 - x_2 y_1)}{y_1^2}, \frac{2a}{y_2} + \frac{1}{y_1} + \frac{y_1(1 - x_1 y_2)}{y_2^2} \right),$$

which again has the *anti-invariant* volume form is $\Omega_4 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dy_1 \wedge dy_2$.

Again, using the change of coordinates:

$$u_1 = \gamma_3 = x_1 y_2, \; v_1 = \gamma_1 = x_1 y_1, \; u_2 = h_2 = x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2, \; v_2 = y_2,$$

we find a reduced $2-$dimensional map (on the level surface $u_2 = k$):

$$\hat{u}_1 = \frac{u_1^2 + v_1^2 + u_1 v_1 (2a - v_1)}{u_1^2}, \;\; \hat{v}_1 = 2a + k - v_1 + \frac{u_1}{v_1} + \frac{v_1}{u_1}, \tag{7}$$

which again preserves h_1 and ω of (5). On this $2-$dimensional space, φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ commute.

2.1 Some General Theory

With this example in mind, we can develop some general theory (for the moment, we take $n = 2$). The first basic ingredient is a vector field $\mathbf{X}$, whose invariants are used to build a pair of functions h_1, h_2, which are invariant under some involution ι, and which are used to build a second involution ρ. Assuming these involutions do not commute, we define the (infinite order) map $\varphi = \iota \circ \rho$. Another ingredient is an invariant (or anti-invariant) volume form Ω_4. The vector field should then satisfy $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \pm\mathbf{X}$, so that $\mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4$ is invariant. In order to guarantee that $d\Omega_3 = 0$, we need that the Lie derivative $L_X \Omega_4 = 0$, since $L_X \Omega_4 = d(\mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4) + \mathbf{X} \lrcorner d\Omega_4 = d(\mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4)$. This gives a divergence condition on $\mathbf{X}$, which is the $n = 2$ case of the following:

$$\Omega = \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_n}{\sigma(x_1, \dots, y_n)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(\mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}^i}{\sigma}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\left(\frac{\mathbf{X}^{n+i}}{\sigma}\right) = 0. \tag{8}$$

All our initial vector fields satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\partial_{x_i} X_i + \partial_{y_i} X_{n+i}) = 0$, which means that $\mathbf{X} \cdot \nabla(\sigma) = 0$, so σ must also be built out of the invariants of $\mathbf{X}$.

Since the vector $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \pm\mathbf{X}$, the map (3) splits into a $3-$dimensional map on its space of invariants, together with a map of v_2, with coefficients depending on the other variables. Since v_2 is chosen to make $\mathbf{X} = \pm v_2 \partial_{v_2}$, the property $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \pm\mathbf{X}$ implies that $\tilde{v}_2 = \alpha v_2^{\pm 1}$, where α is a function of the invariants. The $3-$dimensional map on the space of invariants again decouples into a $2-$dimensional map, together with the identity map on the third component.

In higher dimensions we require the same ingredients, together with additional vector fields which satisfy $\mathbf{X}_i h_j = 0$, each of which should satisfy $\mathbf{X}_i \mapsto \pm\mathbf{X}_i$, together with the above divergence condition.

Example 2.2 (A Modification of the $4d$ McMillan Map) Starting again with the vector field $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, -y_1, y_2)$, with invariants (1), we take the two functions

$$h_1 = (1-\gamma_3)(1-\gamma_4) - 2a\gamma_1 = (1-x_1x_2)(1-y_1y_2) - 2ax_1y_1, \quad h_2 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} = \frac{x_1y_1}{x_2y_2}.$$

Proceeding as before, we find

$$\varphi : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \left(y_1, y_2, -x_1 - \frac{2ax_1y_1}{x_2(1-y_1y_2)}, -x_2 - \frac{2ay_1}{1-y_1y_2} \right),$$

with *anti-invariant* volume

$$\Omega_4 = \frac{dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dy_1 \wedge dy_2}{x_2y_2},$$

so $\mathbf{X}$ is divergence free. Under the map, $\mathbf{X} \mapsto -\mathbf{X}$, so the $3-$form $\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4$ is invariant.

Again we change coordinates:

$$u_1 = \gamma_3,\ v_1 = \gamma_1,\ u_2 = h_2,\ v_2 = y_2, \quad \text{with Jacobian } \frac{x_1^2y_1}{x_2y_2} = \frac{u_1u_2^2v_2}{v_1}.$$

In these coordinates $\mathbf{X} = v_2\partial_{v_2}$, so

$$\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4 = -\frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1 \wedge du_2}{u_1u_2}.$$

The map φ now reduces (on the level surface $u_2 = k$) to

$$\tilde{u}_1 = \frac{v_1^2}{ku_1},\ \ \tilde{v}_1 = -v_1 - \frac{2akv_1^2}{ku_1 - v_1^2},$$

with *invariant function* h_1 and *invariant symplectic form* ω, given by

$$h_1 = 1 - u_1 - 2av_1 + \frac{(u_1-1)v_1^2}{ku_1}, \quad \omega = \frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1}{u_1}. \tag{9}$$

Again, this two dimensional map is just the QRT map built from the two involutions $h_1(\tilde{u}_1, v_1) = h_1(u_1, v_1)$ and $h_1(u_1, \tilde{v}_1) = h_1(u_1, v_1)$.

Now consider $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, x_2, -y_1, -y_2)$, with invariants (6). Taking the *same* functions of γ_i,

$$h_1 = (1-\gamma_3)(1-\gamma_4) - 2a\gamma_1 = (1-x_1y_2)(1-x_2y_1) - 2ax_1y_1, \quad h_2 = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2} = \frac{x_1y_1}{x_2y_2},$$

and proceeding as before, we find

$$\hat{\varphi} : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \left(y_1, y_2, -x_1 + \frac{x_1}{x_2y_1} + \frac{1}{y_2} + \frac{2ax_1}{x_2y_2}, -x_2 + \frac{x_2}{x_1y_2} + \frac{1}{y_1} + \frac{2a}{y_2} \right),$$

which again has the above *anti-invariant* volume form.

Again, using the change of coordinates $u_1 = \gamma_3,\ v_1 = \gamma_1,\ u_2 = h_2,\ v_2 = y_2$, we find a reduced $2-$dimensional map (on the level surface $u_2 = k$):

$$\hat{u}_1 = 1 + \frac{(1-u_1)v_1^2}{ku_1^2} + \frac{2av_1}{u_1},\ \ \hat{v}_1 = -v_1 + \frac{ku_1}{v_1} + \frac{v_1}{u_1} + 2ak, \tag{10}$$

which again preserves h_1 and ω of (9). On this $2-$dimensional space, φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ commute.

Example 2.3 (The Adler-Yamilov Map and a Modification) Starting with

$$\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, y_1, -y_2), \quad \text{with invariants} \quad \gamma_1 = x_1x_2,\ \ \gamma_2 = y_1y_2,\ \ \gamma_3 = x_1y_2,\ \ \gamma_4 = x_2y_1,$$

satisfying $\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1\gamma_2$, we may consider any pair of functions built out of these invariants. To arrive at the Adler-Yamilov map, we use the invariant functions given in [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = x_1x_2 + y_1y_2, \\ h_2 &= a\gamma_2 + b\gamma_1 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_1\gamma_2 = ay_1y_2 + bx_1x_2 + x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_1x_2y_1y_2. \end{aligned}$$

The functions h_k are clearly invariant under the action of $\iota_{12} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto (x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1)$. The equations $h_k(\tilde{x}_1, y_1, x_2, \tilde{y}_2) = h_k(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$ give us the involution

$$\rho_{x_1y_2} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto \left(y_2 - \frac{(a-b)x_2}{1 + x_2y_1}, y_1, x_2, x_1 + \frac{(a-b)y_1}{1 + x_2y_1} \right).$$

The Adler-Yamilov map is obtained from their composition:

$$\varphi = \rho_{x_1y_2} \circ \iota_{12} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto \left(y_1 - \frac{(a-b)x_1}{1 + x_1y_2}, y_2, x_1, x_2 + \frac{(a-b)y_2}{1 + x_1y_2} \right).$$

The volume form $\Omega_4 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dy_1 \wedge dy_2$ is invariant under the map and the vector $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \mathbf{X}$, so the 3−form $\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner\, \Omega_4$ is invariant.

At this stage we change coordinates:

$$u_1 = \gamma_1,\ v_1 = \gamma_3,\ u_2 = h_1,\ v_2 = y_2, \quad \text{with Jacobian} \ \ - x_1y_2^2 = -v_1v_2.$$

In these coordinates $\mathbf{X} = -v_2\partial_{v_2}$, so

$$\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner\, \Omega_4 = -\frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1 \wedge du_2}{v_1}, \tag{11}$$

which is evidently closed. The map φ now takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{u}_1 = u_2 - u_1 - \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1} + \frac{2av_1}{u_1},\ \ \tilde{v}_1 = \frac{1}{v_1}\left(u_1 + \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1}\right)\left((u_1 - u_2) + \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1}\right), \\ &\tilde{u}_2 = u_2,\ \ \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{v_2}{v_1}\left(u_1 + \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

On the level surface $u_2 = k$, the map restricts to the coordinates u_1, v_1:

$$\tilde{u}_1 = k - u_1 - \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1} + \frac{2av_1}{u_1},\ \ \tilde{v}_1 = \frac{1}{v_1}\left(u_1 + \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1}\right)\left((u_1 - k) + \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1}\right),$$

with *invariant function* h_2 and *invariant symplectic form* ω, given by

$$h_2 = b + k - u_1 - \frac{(a-b)v_1}{1+v_1}, \quad \omega = \frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1}{v_1}. \tag{13}$$

This two dimensional map is just the QRT map built from the two involutions $h_2(\tilde{u}_1, v_1) = h_2(u_1, v_1)$ and $h_2(u_1, \tilde{v}_1) = h_2(u_1, v_1)$.

It is known [5] that the Adler-Yamilov map is symplectic, with $\omega = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + dy_1 \wedge dy_2$, which, when written in terms of coordinates u_i, v_i, is just

$$\omega = \frac{du_2 \wedge dv_2}{v_2} - \frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1}{v_1},$$

which is invariant under the map (12). The above two dimensional symplectic form is just the restriction of this to the u_1, v_1 space.

We may now consider

$$\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, -y_1, y_2), \quad \text{with invariants} \quad \gamma_1 = x_1x_2, \;\; \gamma_2 = y_1y_2, \;\; \gamma_3 = x_1y_1, \;\; \gamma_4 = x_2y_2,$$

satisfying $\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1\gamma_2$. The functions h_1, h_2 now take the form

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = x_1x_2 + y_1y_2, \\ h_2 &= a\gamma_2 + b\gamma_1 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 + \gamma_1\gamma_2 = ay_1y_2 + bx_1x_2 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_1x_2y_1y_2. \end{aligned}$$

If we define $\hat{\rho}_{x_1y_2}$ as a solution of $h_k(\hat{x}_1, x_2, y_1, \hat{y}_2) = h_k(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$, then we have

$$\hat{\varphi} = \hat{\rho}_{x_1y_2} \circ \iota_{12} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto \left(\frac{(1 + x_1y_1)y_2}{x_1^2} - \frac{1}{y_2} - \frac{(a-b)}{x_1}, x_1, y_2, \frac{x_1x_2}{y_2} + \frac{x_1}{y_2^2} - \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{(a-b)}{y_2} \right).$$

The volume form $\Omega_4 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dy_1 \wedge dy_2$ is now *anti*-invariant, but the vector $\mathbf{X} \mapsto -\mathbf{X}$, so the $3-$form $\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \, \Omega_4$ is invariant. In the coordinates:

$$u_1 = \gamma_1, \; v_1 = \gamma_3, \; u_2 = h_1, \; v_2 = y_2, \quad \text{with Jacobian} \; -x_1y_1y_2 = -v_1v_2,$$

we have $\mathbf{X} = v_2\partial_{v_2}$, so we find the same formula (11) for Ω_3.

On the level surface $u_2 = k$, the map $\hat{\varphi}$ restricts to the coordinates u_1, v_1:

$$\hat{u}_1 = b - a + k - u_1 + \frac{k - u_1}{v_1} - \frac{v_1}{k - u_1}, \;\; \hat{v}_1 = (k - u_1)^2 \left(\frac{v_1 + 1}{v_1^2} \right) - (a-b)(k - u_1) - 1,$$

with the same *invariant function* h_2 and *invariant symplectic form* ω, given by (13). On this $2-$dimensional space, the maps φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ commute.

Example 2.4 (Another Yang-Baxter Map) Here we wish to consider the map (38), presented in [4], so again start with

$$\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, y_1, -y_2), \quad \text{with invariants} \quad \gamma_1 = x_1x_2, \;\; \gamma_2 = y_1y_2, \;\; \gamma_3 = x_1y_2, \;\; \gamma_4 = x_2y_1,$$

satisfying $\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1\gamma_2$. We use the invariant functions given in [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + x_1y_2 + x_2y_1, \\ h_2 &= a\gamma_2 + b\gamma_1 + \gamma_1\gamma_2 = ay_1y_2 + bx_1x_2 + x_1x_2y_1y_2, \end{aligned}$$

which are again invariant under the action of $\iota_{12} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto (x_2, x_1, y_2, y_1)$. The equations $h_i(\kappa y_2, \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{y}_1, x_1/\kappa) = h_i(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$ (with κ a constant) give us

$$\tilde{x}_2 = \left(\frac{a - x_1y_2}{b - x_1y_2} \right) \frac{y_1}{\kappa} + \frac{(a-b)x_1}{(b - x_1y_2)\kappa}, \quad \tilde{y}_1 = \left(\frac{b - x_1y_2}{a - x_1y_2} \right) \kappa x_2 - \frac{(a-b)\kappa y_2}{a - x_1y_2}.$$

Noting that x_1y_2 is invariant under this involution, we may choose $\kappa = \frac{a-x_1y_2}{b-x_1y_2}$. This defines the involution $\rho_{x_2y_1}$. The composition $\varphi = \iota_{12} \circ \rho_{x_2y_1}$ gives us map (38) of [4]:

$$\varphi : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto \left(y_1 + \frac{(a-b)x_1}{a-x_1y_2}, \frac{(a-x_1y_2)y_2}{b-x_1y_2}, \frac{(b-x_1y_2)x_1}{a-x_1y_2}, x_2 - \frac{(a-b)y_2}{b-x_1y_2} \right).$$

The volume form $\Omega_4 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dy_1 \wedge dy_2$ is invariant under the map and the vector $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \mathbf{X}$, so the 3−form $\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4$ is invariant.

The change of coordinates:

$$u_1 = \gamma_1,\ v_1 = \gamma_3,\ u_2 = h_1,\ v_2 = y_2, \quad \text{with Jacobian} \ \ - x_1y_2(x_2+y_2) = -(u_1+v_1)v_2,$$

and $\mathbf{X} = -v_2\partial_{v_2}$, gives

$$\Omega_3 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_4 = -\frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1 \wedge du_2}{u_1+v_1},$$

which is evidently closed. On the level surface $u_2 = k$, the map restricts to the coordinates u_1, v_1:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_1 &= k - v_1 - \frac{(a+u_1)ku_1}{(b+u_1)(u_1+v_1)} + \frac{(a-b)bk}{(b+u_1)(b-v_1)}, \\ \tilde{v}_1 &= b - a - u_1 + \frac{ku_1(a+u_1)}{(b+u_1)(u_1+v_1)} + (a-b)\left(\frac{a+u_1}{a-v_1} - \frac{bk}{(b+u_1)(b-v_1)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with *invariant function* h_2 and *invariant symplectic form* ω, given by

$$h_2 = a(k-v_1) + (b+k)u_1 - u_1v_1 - \frac{(a+u_1)ku_1}{u_1+v_1}, \quad \omega = \frac{du_1 \wedge dv_1}{u_1+v_1}.$$

This two dimensional map is just the QRT map built from the two involutions $h_2(\tilde{u}_1, v_1) = h_2(u_1, v_1)$ and $h_2(u_1, \tilde{v}_1) = h_2(u_1, v_1)$.

We may now consider

$$\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, -y_1, y_2), \quad \text{with invariants} \quad \gamma_1 = x_1x_2,\ \ \gamma_2 = y_1y_2,\ \ \gamma_3 = x_1y_1,\ \ \gamma_4 = x_2y_2,$$

satisfying $\gamma_3\gamma_4 = \gamma_1\gamma_2$. The functions h_1, h_2 now take the form

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + \gamma_4 = x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + x_1y_1 + x_2y_2, \\ h_2 &= a\gamma_2 + b\gamma_1 + \gamma_1\gamma_2 = ay_1y_2 + bx_1x_2 + x_1x_2y_1y_2. \end{aligned}$$

If we define $\hat{\rho}_{x_1y_1}$ as a solution of $h_i(\hat{x}_1, \kappa y_2, \hat{y}_1, x_2/\kappa) = h_i(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$, and proceed as before, the composition $\varphi = \iota_{12} \circ \hat{\rho}_{x_1y_1}$ gives us

$$\hat{\varphi} : (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \mapsto \left(\frac{(a-x_2y_2)y_2}{b-x_2y_2}, y_1 + \frac{(a-b)x_2}{a-x_2y_2}, \frac{(b-x_2y_2)x_2}{a-x_2y_2}, x_1 - \frac{(a-b)y_2}{b-x_2y_2} \right).$$

Proceeding as before, we find the map $\hat{\varphi}$ restricts to the coordinates u_1, v_1:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u}_1 &= k - \frac{ku_1}{u_1+v_1} - \frac{(a+u_1)v_1}{b+u_1} \\ &\qquad + (a-b)\left(\frac{b(b+k)-u_1^2}{(b+u_1)^2} - \frac{b}{b+u_1} + \frac{bk^2u_1}{(b+u_1)^2((b+u_1)(u_1+v_1)-ku_1)} \right), \\ \hat{v}_1 &= \frac{u_1(k-u_1-v_1)}{u_1+v_1}, \end{aligned}$$

with the same *invariant function* h_2 and *invariant symplectic form* ω.

On this $2-$dimensional space, the maps φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ commute.

Still using the vector field $\mathbf{X}=(x_1,-x_2,-y_1,y_2)$, we may consider a different involution $\bar{\rho}_{x_1y_2}$ as a solution of $h_k(\bar{x}_1,x_2,y_1,\bar{y}_2)=h_k(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2)$ and proceed as before. We obtain

$$\bar{\varphi}:(x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2)\mapsto\left(x_2,y_2+\frac{b}{y_1}-\frac{a}{x_2},x_1+\frac{a}{x_2}-\frac{b}{y_1},y_1\right),$$

which reduces to

$$\bar{u}_1=-a-u_1+\frac{bu_1}{v_1}+\frac{ku_1}{u_1+v_1},\quad \bar{v}_1=a+u_1-\frac{bu_1}{v_1},$$

which preserves the same function h_2 and symplectic form ω and commutes with *both* φ and $\hat{\varphi}$ (in the u_1,v_1 plane). Furthermore, $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ even commute in the $4-$dimensional $x-y$ space.

3 The 6 Dimensional Case

We carry out the same procedure in 6 dimensions to reduce to a map on a 4 dimensional space with an invariant volume. To reduce further, we need a second symmetry vector $\mathbf{X}_1$, which should transform correctly under the map ($\mathbf{X}_1\mapsto\pm\mathbf{X}_1$) and have zero divergence.

The algebra of symmetries of a given set of functions is easy to find. Let $\sigma=(s_1,\dots,s_6)$ and solve the 3 equations $\sigma\cdot\nabla h_i=0, i=1,\dots,3$ for s_4,s_5,s_6. Since the functions are independent, this is always possible for some subset of s_i containing 3 elements. Generically, this can be the first 3. Otherwise, it is always possible to relabel coordinates, so that it *is* this case. This gives us 3 symmetry vectors of the form

$$\sigma_1=(1,0,0,s_4,s_5,s_6),\quad \sigma_2=(0,1,0,s_4,s_5,s_6),\quad \sigma_3=(0,0,1,s_4,s_5,s_6), \tag{14}$$

where, for vector σ_i, s_{3+k} should be replaced by the coefficient of s_i in the solution. These symmetries commute. Any linear combination of σ_i is a symmetry. The given symmetry vector $\mathbf{X}$ is a simple linear combination of these.

Example 3.1 (A $6d$ McMillan Map) Here we start with $\mathbf{X}=(x_1,-x_2,x_3,-y_1,y_2,-y_3)$, with invariants

$$\gamma_1=x_1y_1,\ \ \gamma_2=x_2y_2,\ \ \gamma_3=x_3y_3,\ \ \gamma_4=x_1x_2,\ \ \gamma_5=y_1y_2,\ \ \gamma_6=x_2x_3,\ \ \gamma_7=y_2y_3,$$

satisfying $\gamma_1\gamma_2=\gamma_4\gamma_5$ and $\gamma_2\gamma_3=\gamma_6\gamma_7$. We generalise Example 2.1 by choosing

$$\begin{aligned}h_1&=(1-\gamma_4)(1-\gamma_5)-2a\gamma_1=(1-x_1x_2)(1-y_1y_2)-2ax_1y_1,\\ h_2&=(1-\gamma_6)(1-\gamma_7)-2a\gamma_2=(1-x_2x_3)(1-y_2y_3)-2ax_2y_2,\\ h_3&=\gamma_2-\gamma_3=x_2y_2-x_3y_3,\end{aligned}$$

which are evidently invariant under the involution $\iota_{xy}:(x_i,y_i)\mapsto(y_i,x_i),\quad i=1,\dots,3$. The equations

$$h_k(\tilde{\mathbf{x}},\mathbf{y})=h_k(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),\quad k=1,\dots,3,$$

can be solved for $\tilde{x}_i,\ i=1,\dots,3$ to obtain the involution ρ_x and this can be composed with ι_{xy} to give

$$\varphi=\iota_{xy}\circ\rho_x:(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\mapsto(y_1,y_2,y_3,\tilde{y}_1,\tilde{y}_2,\tilde{y}_3,),$$

where

$$\tilde{y}_1 = \frac{x_1 y_2(-2ay_1 + x_2(1-y_1y_2)(1-y_2y_3))}{2ay_2(y_1-y_3) - x_3y_3(1-y_1y_2)(1-y_2y_3)}, \quad \tilde{y}_2 = -\frac{x_3y_3}{y_2} - \frac{2ay_3}{1-y_2y_3}, \quad \tilde{y}_3 = -\frac{x_2y_2}{y_3} - \frac{2ay_2}{1-y_2y_3},$$

which is a coupled McMillan map. The Jacobian of this map can be written as $\tilde{y}_1/x_1$, so that x_1y_1 is an invariant volume. Define the volume form Ω_6 by

$$\Omega_6 = \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy_3}{x_1y_1}.$$

For this example, we define $\mathbf{S}_1 = x_1\sigma_1$, which commutes with $\mathbf{X}$, and note

$$\mathbf{S}_1 = \left(x_1, 0, 0, -\frac{x_1(x_2 + 2ay_1 - x_2y_1y_2)}{y_2 + 2ax_1 - x_1x_2y_2}, 0, 0\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varphi_*(\mathbf{S}_1) = -\left(\frac{\tilde{y}_1(\tilde{y}_2 + 2a\tilde{x}_1 - \tilde{x}_1\tilde{x}_2\tilde{y}_2}{y_1(y_2 + 2ax_1 - x_1x_2y_2)}\right)\tilde{\mathbf{S}}_1,$$

so

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = (x_1y_1(y_2 + 2ax_1 - x_1x_2y_2), 0, 0, -x_1y_1(x_2 + 2ay_1 - x_2y_1y_2), 0, 0)$$

satisfies $\varphi_*(\mathbf{X}_1)|_{(\tilde{x},\tilde{y})} = -\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_1$. Note that this does not destroy the commutativity, since we have multiplied by an invariant function of the vector field $\mathbf{X}$. Both $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}_1$ satisfy the divergence condition (8).

At this stage we change to coordinates $(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, u_3, v_3) = (\gamma_4, \gamma_6, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, h_3, y_3)$, with Jacobian $-x_1x_2^2x_3y_3 = -u_1u_2v_3$, so

$$\Omega_6 = -\frac{du_1 \wedge du_2 \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2 \wedge du_3 \wedge dv_3}{u_1v_1u_2v_3}.$$

We also have $\mathbf{X} = -v_3\partial_{v_3}$ and $\mathbf{X}_1 = v_1(v_2 + 2au_1 - u_1v_2)\partial_{u_1} + \frac{v_1(v_1v_2 - u_1^2)}{u_1}\partial_{v_1}$, so

$$\Omega_5 = \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_6 = -\frac{du_1 \wedge du_2 \wedge dv_1 \wedge dv_2 \wedge du_3}{u_1v_1u_2},$$

which is evidently closed. We have $\Omega_4 = \mathbf{X}_1 \lrcorner \Omega_5$, given by

$$\Omega_4 = \left(-\left(\frac{v_1v_2 - u_1^2}{u_1^2u_2}\right)du_1 + \left(\frac{v_2 + 2au_1 - u_1v_2}{u_1u_2}\right)dv_1\right) \wedge du_2 \wedge dv_2 \wedge du_3 = -dr \wedge du_2 \wedge dv_2 \wedge du_3,$$

where

$$r = \frac{u_1v_1v_2 - u_1^2 - 2au_1v_1 - v_1v_2}{u_1u_2}.$$

In these coordinates

$$h_1 = 1 - u_1 - 2av_1 + v_1v_2 - \frac{v_1v_2}{u_1} = u_2r + 1, \quad h_2 = 1 - u_2 - 2av_2 - \frac{v_2(u_3 - v_2)(u_2 - 1)}{u_2}.$$

Writing $u_2 = (h_1 - 1)/r$, $v_2 = s$, we have

$$\Omega_4 = \frac{dr \wedge ds \wedge dh_1 \wedge du_3}{r}$$

and (with $h_1 = k_1$, $h_3 = k_3$)

$$h_2 = \frac{(k_1 - 1)(1 - k_1 + r) - rs((k_1 - 1)(2a + k_3) - k_3r + s(1 - k_1 + r))}{(k_1 - 1)r}.$$

In the $r-s$ plane, the map reduces to

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{(k_1-1)^2}{rs(s-k_3)}, \quad \tilde{s} = (k_3-s)\left(1+\frac{2ars}{k_1-1+(k_3-s)rs}\right),$$

which is symplectic with respect to

$$\omega = \frac{dr \wedge ds}{r}$$

and has h_2 as an invariant. This map is exactly the QRT map built out of h_2.

We now choose $\mathbf{X} = (x_1, -x_2, -x_3, -y_1, y_2, y_3)$, with invariants

$$\gamma_1 = x_1 y_1, \;\; \gamma_2 = x_2 y_2, \;\; \gamma_3 = x_3 y_3, \;\; \gamma_4 = x_1 x_2, \;\; \gamma_5 = y_1 y_2, \;\; \gamma_6 = x_2 y_3, \;\; \gamma_7 = x_3 y_2.$$

Proceeding as before leads to $\hat{\varphi} = (y_1, y_2, y_3, \hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2, \hat{y}_3)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_1 &= \frac{x_1 y_2^2 y_3 (x_2(1-y_1y_2)+2ay_1)}{y_2^2+2ay_2y_3+y_3^2-y_2(y_1y_2^2+x_3y_3^2+y_1y_3^2)+x_3y_1y_2^2y_3^2}, \\ \hat{y}_2 &= \frac{1}{y_3}+\frac{y_3(1-x_3y_2)}{y_2^2}+\frac{2a}{y_2}, \quad \tilde{y}_3 = \frac{1}{y_2}+\frac{y_2(1-x_2y_3)}{y_3^2}+\frac{2a}{y_3}, \end{aligned}$$

which preserves the same volume as above. The same vector field $\mathbf{X}_1$ is used and leads to the 2 dimensional reduction

$$\hat{r} = \frac{(k_1-1)^3}{r^2s^2+(k_1-1)(k_1-1+rs(2a-s))}, \quad \hat{s} = \frac{(k_1-1)^3+k_3(k_1-1)rs\hat{r}}{(k_1-1)\hat{r}rs},$$

where we have shortened the formula for $\hat{s}$ by using the definition of $\hat{r}$. This map is symplectic with respect to the same ω and preserves the same function h_2 and commutes with the QRT map φ.

4 Summary and Conclusions

In this paper we have considered a class of mapping on a $2n-$dimensional space (for the cases $n = 2, 3$), with coordinates $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = (x_1, \dots, y_n)$, possessing n independent functions $h_k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}),\ k = 1, \dots, n$, which are invariant under the action of the map. The functions h_k were built out of the invariants of a simple vector field $\mathbf{X}$, so are naturally defined on the $(n-1)-$dimensional space of invariants. When the map has invariant (or anti-invariant) volume Ω, with respect to which $\mathbf{X}$ is divergence free and when $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \pm\mathbf{X}$ under the map, then $\mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega$ is an invariant volume on this $(n-1)-$dimensional space of invariants. Since the vector $\mathbf{X} \mapsto \pm\mathbf{X}$, the map splits into an $(n-1)-$dimensional map on its space of invariants, together with a map of v_n, with coefficients depending on the other variables.

The case $n = 2$ is straightforward, with the general structure described in Section 2.1. Looking at Example 3.1 we see that when $n = 3$ we have first to find a second symmetry vector with good transformation and divergence properties. If we find this, then, as in Example 3.1, we can reduce to a $4-$dimensional space whose volume element has special structure

$$\mathbf{X}_1 \lrcorner \mathbf{X} \lrcorner \Omega_6 = \Omega_4 = \omega \wedge dh_{i_1} \wedge dh_{i_2},$$

where ω is an invariant $2-$form and h_{i_k} are two of the invariant functions, taken as coordinates. Since Ω_4 is non-degenerate, $\omega = dr \wedge ds/\sigma(r, s)$, with r, s, h_{i_1}, h_{i_2} being independent coordinates, and the mapping will reduce to the r, s space, preserving ω and the remaining invariant function.

The third independent symmetry vector is then the Hamiltonian vector field generated by this invariant function. An important part of our construction is the use of *different* starting vectors $\mathbf{X}$ to produce commuting maps in the $2-$dimensional space. Commuting maps are an important feature of integrability (see Veselov's review [7]).

We have given a systematic *procedure* for analysing the maps for a given set of functions h_k, built from the invariants of a vector field $\mathbf{X}$. However, there are a number of potential obstacles en route. First, for a given set of functions, there are many possible involutions to consider and some of these are not in rational form. Some don't have an obvious invariant volume form. When $n \geq 3$ we need to construct additional symmetry vectors which have the correct transformation and divergence properties. There is no guarantee that such vectors exist (not all maps can be reduced further) and even when they do they may be difficult to find. We do not know why the maps we construct should commute, but it is interesting that they do. It may be possible to construct further commuting maps, as we did in Example 2.4, but we have no systematic way of working through the list and no way of classifying all possible reduced maps for a given collection of functions h_k.

Most of our examples were *constructed* in order to illustrate the technique. However, Examples 2.3 and 2.4 show that these are not just artifacts of our construction, but actually arise in other contexts, such as in the study of Yang-Baxter maps. The fact that these reduce to $2-$dimensions and possess commuting maps is interesting and previously unknown.

Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank the Centro Internacional de Ciencias in Cuernavaca for hospitality in November and December of 2012, during which much of this work was done. PK was supported by the Australian Research Council Discovery Grant No. DP110102001.

References

[1] V.E. Adler and R.I Yamilov. Explicit auto-transformations of integrable chains. *J. Phys. A*, 27:47792, 1994.

[2] G.B. Byrnes, F.A. Haggar, and G.R.W. Quispel. Sufficient conditions for dynamical systems to have pre-symplectic or pre-implectic structures. *Physica A*, 272:99–129, 1999.

[3] A.P. Fordy and P.G. Kassotakis. Multidimensional maps of QRT type. *J.Phys.A*, 39:10773–86, 2006.

[4] S. Konstantinou-Rizos and A.V. Mikhailov. Yang-Baxter maps and finite reduction groups with degenerated orbits. 2012. arXiv:1205.4910 [math-ph].

[5] T.E. Kouloukas and V.G. Papageorgiou. Yang-Baxter maps with first-degree polynomial 2 × 2 Lax matrices. *J Phys A*, 42:404012, 2009.

[6] G.R.W. Quispel, J.A.G. Roberts, and C.J. Thompson. Integrable mappings and soliton equations. *Phys. Letts. A.*, 126:419–421, 1988.

[7] A.P. Veselov. Integrable maps. *Russ. Math. Surveys*, 46, N5:1–51, 1991.